check by M 8/23/16

#### **CETIFICATION**

SDG No:

JC25024

Laboratory:

Accutest, New Jersey

Site:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Matrix:

Groundwater

**SUMMARY:** 

Groundwater samples (Table 1) were collected on the BMSMC facility – BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR. The BMSMC facility is located in Humacao, PR. Samples were taken August 1, 2016 and were analyzed in Accutest Laboratory of Dayton, New Jersey for 1,4-Dioxane and Naphthalene. The results were reported under SDG No.: JC25024. Results were validated using the latest validation guidelines (July, 2015) of the EPA Hazardous Waste Support Section. The analyses performed are shown in Table 1. Individual data review worksheets are enclosed for each target analyte group. The data sample organic data samples summary form shows for analytes results that were qualified.

In summary the results are valid and can be used for decision taking purposes.

Table 1. Samples analyzed and analysis performed

SAMPLE ID	SAMPLE	MATRIX	ANALYSIS PERFORMED
	DESCRIPTION		
JC25024-1	OSMW-3D	Groundwater	1,-4-dioxane and Naphthalene (SIM)
JC25024-2	OSMW-3S	Groundwater	1,-4-dioxane and Naphthalene (SIM)
JC25024-2	OSMW-3S	Groundwater	1,-4-dioxane (SCAN)

Reviewer Name:

Rafael Infante

**Chemist License 1888** 

Signature:

Date:

August 16, 2016

LIC # 1005 591705

### **SGS** Accutest

CAS No.

4165-60-0

321-60-8

1718-51-0

Surrogate Recoveries

Nitrobenzene-d5

2-Fluorobiphenyl

Terphenyl-d14

### Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client San Lab Samp Matrix: Method: Project:	ple ID: JC2502 AQ - G SW846	4-1 round Wate 8270D BY	-	3510C		Date		3/01/16 3/02/16 a
Run #1 Run #2	File ID 3P55435.D	DF 1	Analyzed 08/03/16	By JJ	Prep D 08/02/1		Prep Batch OP95988A	Analytical Batch E3P2535
Run #1 Run #2	Initial Volume 1000 ml	Final Vol	lume					
CAS No.	Compound		Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q	
91-20-3 123-91-1	Naphthalene 1,4-Dioxane		ND ND	0.10 0.10	0.029 0.049	ug/l ug/l		

Run# 2

Limits

24-125%

19-127%

10-119%

Run# 1

66%

70%

71%



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

### SGS Accutest

### Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sa Lab Sam Matrix: Method: Project:	AQ - G SW846	4-2 round Wat 8270D BY	er / SIM SW846 g 5 Area, PR	3510C	D	Date Sampled: 08/01/16 Date Received: 08/02/16 Percent Solids: n/a			
	File ID	DF	Analyzed	Ву	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch		
Run #1	M126617.D	1	08/03/16	SB	08/02/16	OP95988A	EM5377		
Run #2	3P55453.D	1	08/03/16	SG	08/02/16	OP95988A	E3P2536		
	Initial Volume	Final Vo	lume						
Run #1	1000 ml	1.0 ml							
Run #2	1000 ml	1.0 ml							

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
91-20-3 123-91-1	Naphthalene 1,4-Dioxane	ND <sup>a</sup> 5.75	0.10 1.0	0.029 0.049	ug/l ug/l	
CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Lim	its	
4165-60-0 321-60-8 1718-51-0	Nitrobenzene-d5 2-Fluorobiphenyl Terphenyl-d14	61% 59% 71%	64% 62% 64%		25% 27% 19%	

(a) Result is from Run# 2



ND = Not detected

MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

Accutest:	J	(	CHAII	0 1	F C	UST	<b>O</b>	ĐΥ	,					. =		ะรถ		Seglio Cari				OF	1
NJ			2331 I TEL. 733-33	9-6200	FAJL 73		4/348			• • •	\$** <b>SAR</b>	Г	809					Appaired.	im 8	10	125		2년 Mastha Codes
Client / Reporting Information	1	7.7	Project 1	NO DIE	tion	<u> </u>	8				4.5		Ru	quest	ed Ana	ysls (	see T	EST C	ODE 8	Tipe()	_	34	Mearus Codes
Corregate horns	Project Name											Ι.	.										OW - Denning Week
Anderson Mulholland & Associates	EMSNC Proc	a ZA Rolonoa A	accument.						0 1		`1C				1							. 1	DW - Ground Weter
Second Address	Street									-								i					SW - Surfece Weter SQ - Bod
2708 Westchester Avenue, Suite 617	Cay		Slate	ر ومالاندو درخوست	, jednos	n. ( 1f diffe	-		agents.	and .		-13	2		1				- 1				SL-Shrige SED-Sedment
	Humacao		PR											ļ					- 1				Ch-Oli LIO - Other Lin-let
Prochage RY 10677 Prooci Oreact E-red	Project #			Street Ad	idress.							_].	흑								. 1		ARE-AE
Torry Yaylor	621			Cth			-	Sale	_		Zer	⊢'	≨			1							SOL - Other Send
Phone 8	Client Purchase	Circles #		City							and a	- 1	and Maphthalene						. !		i I		FB-Flohi Blank EB-Equipment Blank
914-251-6400 Prom #	Project libration			Affection					_			<b>−</b> †	ğ [		1	1							Rid-Rittee Marris
200000000000000000000000000000000000000	Terry Taylor			-																			16-Tap Blank
N. Rivers, R. Stuert, R. C'Rolly, T. Taylor	TOTAL TOTAL		Comptions					-	- 4	-	Bellet		1,4-Dioxane	1			'				1 1		
	1			l			L	ă a	اةا	y I I	18	U	ያ		1		l	il					LAB USE ONLY
teres Field 1D / Point of Collection	MACHINE	Carre .	Time	let.	Mater	0 -0 1-0-	Ē	3 3	囯	<u>일</u> 등	3 2	-	_	4-	+	$\vdash$		$\vdash$			$\square$		LAS USE COLY
( OSMW- 3 D	T	8-1-16	12.11	NR	GW	2				2	Ш	Ш	X				L						
2 J OSHW - 35		8-1-16	1310	NR	GW	2	П			2	П		x		1		L						£14
				74.			П	$\top$	П	7	П	П		T	T	Г							i 1
				-	<del> </del>	_	Н	+	H	+	<del>                                     </del>	+	$\dashv$	-1-	+	1							
							┾┼		H	-	╁	╁╾┼╴	+		-	$\vdash$		-	$\vdash$		$\vdash$		
							Ц	_	Ш	_	₩	11		- -	+	-	_	-		-	$\vdash$		
				l		<u> </u>	Ш				Ш	Ш	$\perp$			lacksquare	_	_	_		$\vdash$		
		ľ			1		П		П		П	Ш			_ _		<u>L</u>		L.				
							П		П		П	TT		Т			1				1 /		
	+		_		<del>                                     </del>	$\vdash$	H	-	ऻऻ		+-	<del>1</del>	_	-1-	_					1			
				-	-	-	╀		Н	- -	╂╾╂╼	╁┼	+	+	+	$\vdash$	$\vdash$	-				-	
				<del>   </del>		_	Н		H	+	+	+	+			<del>-</del>	⊢	-		-	$\vdash$	-	
							Ш		П			Ц.		$\perp$		Ļ,	<u> </u>						
	12 (A)	2.8			125					1			(\$) W	5 38	14		1420°,						
Topositional Time ( Business Stept.)	2000年		. <b>143</b>							Line in		_	3 1	200	ARX.	G91.	Com	in a series	Specs	of Iredita	chons		
Stat. 15 Statement Bayes	محوا ألو ومستخفار	ataut (FBQ: I Delar				ent "A" (					IYASP ( IYASP (								7.4		4		
Stat. 10 Stationers Dayle   by Contract only!						chillerii Lavat 34		a p	ı		CYALDEP I Malai Pas		-	131	TIAL	CED	CRAFI	UT Z		3,	2	_	
L real week					HJ Pede		•		ì	_	200 /-			- 118	11996.	4365	DIVIC	· · ·/	- 2)	7			
10m/ ZMCROENCY						and "C"					<del>-</del>		-	LA	BEL V	ERIF	CATI	ON.	B	/	5		
2 Day REMERCENCY						Comme								F									
Emilionery & Rush Tile data medicina VA Labitra						HJ Rody	end v	See. B	s = Q	C Dum	nury + i	Partie F		L							-		
		ample Controly or	net be docum	contact to	-	d, time s	amp)	es ch	enge	penide	endon,	includ	ing ones	-						1	1944		
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	-16 1700		GV				2		a 44.		F	EDZ	سما		8-2	4	رتالا	2		D			
The state of the s			-				Ė		d Nys.		-	,			Q44+ T	<b>—</b>		-	nd Blys				
3		3					4	-				100				-		]4		On his		Page	Toma 200
State		S					1	7 7	ËĽ	9		0 ,		_	L L					2			J.4C
													200										

JC25024: Chain of Custody Page 1 of 2

### **EXECUTIVE NARRATIVE**

SDG No:

JC25024

Laboratory:

**Accutest, New Jersey** 

Analysis:

SW846-8270D

**Number of Samples:** 

Location:

BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Humacao, PR

SUMMARY: Two (2) samples were analyzed for Naphthalene and 1,4-Dioxane following method SW846-8270D using the selective ion monitoring (SIM) technique; one of the sample was also analyzed for 1,4-Dioxane following method SW846-8270D in the scan mode. The sample results were assessed according to USEPA data validation guidance documents in the following order of precedence: EPA Hazardous Waste Support Section, SOP HW-35A, July 2015 –Revision 0. Semivolatile Data Validation. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

**Critical issues:** 

None

Major:

None

Minor:

None

**Critical findings:** 

None

Major findings:

None

**Minor findings:** 

None

COMMENTS:

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Reviewers Name:

Rafael Infante

Chemist License 1888

Signature:

Date:

August 16, 2016

### SAMPLE ORGANIC DATA SAMPLE SUMMARY

Sample ID: JC25024-1

Sample location: BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Sampling date: 8/1/2016

Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8270D (SIM)

Analyte Name	Result	Units (	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Naphthalene	0.10	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
1,4-Dioxane	0.10	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes

Sample ID: JC25024-2

Sample location: BMSMC, Building 5 Area, PR

Sampling date: 8/1/2016

Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8270D (SIM)

Analyte Name Result Units Dilution Factor Lab Flag Validation Reportable

Naphthalene 0.10 ug/l 1 - U Yes

1,4-Dioxane - - - - - - -

METHOD: 8270D (SCAN)

Analyte Name Result Units Dilution Factor Lab Flag Validation Reportable 1,4-Dioxane 5.8 ug/l 1 - U Yes

	Date: August_1,_2016
	Shipping Date:August_1,_2016
	EPA Region:2
REVIEW OF SEMIVOLATILE C	PRGANIC PACKAGE
The following guidelines for evaluating volatile org validation actions. This document will assist the remake more informed decision and in better serving results were assessed according to USEPA data following order of precedence: EPA Hazardous W 2015—Revision 0. Semivolatile Data Validation. The QC on the data review worksheets are from the prima noted.	eviewer in using professional judgment to the needs of the data users. The sample a validation guidance documents in the Vaste Support Section, SOP HW-35A, July C criteria and data validation actions listed
The hardcopied (laboratory name) _Accutest reviewed and the quality control and performance dat included:	
Lab. Project/SDG No.:JC25024 No. of Samples:2_SIM/1_SCAN	Sample matrix:Groundwater
Trip blank No.:	
Field blank No.:	
Equipment blank No.:	
Field duplicate No.:	
X Holding Times X GC/MS Tuning	X Laboratory Control SpikesX Field DuplicatesX CalibrationsX Compound IdentificationsX Compound QuantitationX Quantitation Limits
_Overall Comments:_Naphthalene_and_1,4-Dioxane_ana _Sample_JC25024-2_also_analyzed_by_the_scan_metho	
Definition of Qualifiers:	
J- Estimated results U- Compound not detected R- Rejected data UJ- Estimated nondetect Reviewer: Accus was 16, 2016	29

Project Number:\_JC25024\_\_\_\_\_

### **DATA COMPLETENESS**

MISSING INFORMATION	DATE LAB. CONTACTED	DATE RECEIVED
3		
4		
The state of the s	- W	
	>	
	3	
	*	
- (C. 144)		
	4	
	No.	
		W.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		4

All criteria were met _X
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

### **HOLDING TIMES**

The objective of this parameter is to ascertain the validity of the results based on the holding time of the sample from time of collection to the time of analysis.

Complete table for all samples and note the analysis and/or preservation not within criteria

SAMPLE ID	DATE SAMPLED	DATE EXTRACTED/ANALYZED		ACTION
		  alyzed within method recon  lescribed in this document.	nmen	ded holding time. Samples properly
Cooler tempera	ature (Criteria: 4	+ 2 °C): 4.8°C		

### **Actions**

Results will be qualified based on the criteria of the following Table:

Table I. Holding Time Actions for Semivolatile Analyses

	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ing Time Actions for Semivo		tion	
Matrix	Preserved	Criteria	Detected Associated Compounds	Non-Detected Associated Compounds	
	No	≤7 days (for extraction) ≤40 days (for analysis)	Use professi	onal judgment	
	No	> 7 days (for extraction) > 40 days (for analysis)	1	Use professional judgment	
Aqueous	Yes	≤ 7 days (for extraction) ≤ 40 days (for analysis)	No qua	lification	
	Yes	> 7 days (for extraction) > 40 days (for analysis)	J	ΟΊ	
	Yes/No	Grossly Exceeded	J	UJ or R	
	No	≤ 14 days (for extraction) ≤ 40 days (for analysis)	Use profession	onal judgment	
Nan Agusaus	No > 14 days (for extraction) > 40 days (for analysis)		1	Use professional judgment	
Non-Aqueous	Yes	≤ 14 days (for extraction) ≤ 40 days (for analysis)	No qualification		
	Yes > 14 days (for extraction) > 40 days (for analysis)		J	ΩJ	
	Yes/No	Grossly Exceeded	J	UJ or R	

		Criter	All criteria were metX ia were not met see below
GC/MS TUNING	}		
The assessmen tuning QC limits	t of the tuning results is to determine	if the sample instrumentation	is within the standard
_X The DF	TPP performance results were review	ed and found to be within the	specified criteria.
X_ DFTPP	tuning was performed for every 12 ho	ours of sample analysis.	
If no, use profes or rejected.	sional judgment to determine whethe	r the associated data should	be accepted, qualified
	These requirements do not apply Monitoring (SIM) technique.	when samples are analyzed	by the Selected Ion
	All mass spectrometer conditions or analysis. Background subtraction unacceptable No data should be qualified based of	actions resulting in spe	-
	The requirement to analyze the instruanalysis of PAHs/pentachlorophenol		
List	the	samples	affected:

### Actions:

- 1. If sample are analyzed without a preceding valid instrument performance check or are analyzed 12 hours after the instrument Performance Check, qualify all data in those samples as unusable (R).
- 2. If ion abundance criteria are not met, use professional judgment to determine to what extent the data may be utilized.
- 3. State in the Data Review Narrative, decisions to use analytical data associated with DFTPP instrument performance checks not meeting the contract requirements.
- 4. Use professional judgment to determine if associated data should be qualified based on the spectrum of the mass calibration compounds.

All criteria were metX	
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

### **INITIAL CALIBRATION VERIFICATION**

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of initial calibration:	_, ,_
Instrument ID numbers:_	GCMS3P
Matrix/Level:	Aqueous/low
Date of initial calibration: Instrument ID numbers:_ Matrix/Level:	GCMSM

DATE	LAB ID#	FILE	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r	COMPOUND	SAMPLES AFFECTED
Initial a	and initi	ial calib		ts the method and guid	ance validation document
			penon	idilce Gillelia.	

### Note:

### Actions:

Qualify the initial calibration analytes listed in Table 2 using the following criteria:

Table 3. Initial Calibration Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Criteria	- [	Action		
Спена	Detect	Non-detect		
Initial Calibration not performed at specified frequency and sequence	Use professional judgment R	Use professional judgment R		
Initial Calibration not performed at the specified concentrations	J	UJ		
RRF < Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	Use professional judgment J+ or R	R		
RRF ≥ Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification		
%RSD > Maximum %RSD in Table 2 for target analyte	J	Use professional judgment		
%RSD ≤ Maximum %RSD in Table 2 for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification		

### **Initial Calibration**

Table 2. RRF, %RSD, and %D Acceptance Criteria in Initial Calibration and CCV for Semivolatile Analysis

Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D <sup>t</sup>	Opening Maximum %D <sup>1</sup>	
1,4-Dioxane	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0	
Benzaldehyde	0.100	40.0	±40.0	± 50.0	
Phenol	0.080	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0	
Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0	
2-Chlorophenol	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0	
2-Methylphenol	0.010	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0	
3-Methylphenol	0.010	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0	
2,2'-Oxybis-(1-chloropropane)	0.010	20.0	±25.0	±50.0	
Acetophenone	0.060	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0	
4-Methylphenol	0.010	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0	
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	0.080	20.0	±25.0	±25.0	
lexachloroethane	0.100	20.0	±20.0	± 25.0	
Nitrobenzene	0.090	20.0	±20.0	±25.0	
Isophorone	0.100	20.0	±20.0	±25.0	
2-Nitrophenol	0.060	20.0	±20.0	±25.0	
2,4-Dimethylphenol	0.050	20.0	±25.0	±50.0	
Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	0.080	20.0	±20.0	±25.0	
2,4-Dichlorophenol	0.060	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0	
Naphthalene	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0	
1-Chloroaniline	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0	
Iexachlorobutadiene	0.040	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0	
Caprolactam	0.010	40.0	± 30.0	±50.0	
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	0.040	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0	
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.100	20.0	±20.0	±25.0	
lexachlorocyclopentadiene	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0	
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	0.090	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0	
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	0.100	20.0	±20.0	±25.0	
1,1'-Biphenyl	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0	

Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D <sup>t</sup>	Opening Maximum %D <sup>1</sup>
2-Chloronaphthalene	0.300	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
2-Nitroaniline	0.060	20.0	±25.0	±25.0
Dimethylphthalate	0.300	20.0	±25.0	±25.0
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	0.080	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Acenaphthylene	0.400	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
3-Nitroaniline	0.010	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Acenaphthene	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
2,4-Dinitrophenol	010.0	40.0	± 50.0	± 50.0
4-Nitrophenol	0.010	40.0	±40.0	± 50.0
Dibenzofuran	0.300	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	0.070	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
Diethylphthalate	0.300	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene	0.100	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
4-Chlorophenyl-phenylether	0.100	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Fluorene	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
4-Nitroaniline	0.010	40.0	±40.0	± 50.0
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	0.010	40.0	±30.0	± 50.0
4-Bromophenyl-phenyl ether	0.070	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine	0,100	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Hexachlorobenzene	0.050	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Atrazine	0.010	40.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Pentachlorophenol	0.010	40.0	±40.0	± 50.0
Phenanthrene	0.200	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Anthracene	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
Carbazole	0.050	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Di-n-butylphthalate	0.500	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
Fluoranthene	0.100	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
Pyrene	0.400	20.0	±25.0	±50.0
Butylbenzylphthalate	0.100	20.0	±25.0	±50.0

Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D¹	Opening Maximum %D <sup>1</sup>
3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Benzo(a)anthracene	0,300	20.0	±20.0	± 25.0
Chrysene	0.200	20.0	±20.0	± 50.0
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate	0.200	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Di-n-octylphthalate	0.010	40.0	±40.0	± 50.0
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.010	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.010	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.010	20.0	± 20.0	± 50.0
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.010	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.010	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	0.010	20.0	± 30.0	± 50.0
2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol	0.040	20.0	± 20.0	± 50.0
Naphthalene	0.600	20.0	±25.0	±25.0
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.300	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Acenaphthylene	0.900	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
Acenaphthene	0.500	20.0	±20.0	± 25.0
Fluorene	0.700	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Phenanthrene	0.300	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Anthracene	0.400	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
Fluoranthene	0.400	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Pyrene	0.500	20.0	±30.0	± 50.0
Benzo(a)anthracene	0.400	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Chyrsene	0.400	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	0.100	20.0	± 30.0	± 50.0
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	0.100	20.0	± 30.0	± 50.0
Benzo(a)pyrene	0.100	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	0.100	20.0	±40.0	± 50.0
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	0.010	25.0	±40.0	± 50.0
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	0.020	25.0	±40.0	± 50.0

Pentachlorophenol	0.010	40.0	± 50.0	± 50.0
Deuterated Monitoring Comp	ounds			

Analyte	Minimum RRF	Maximum %RSD	Opening Maximum %D <sup>1</sup>	Closing Maximum %D
1,4-Dioxane-d <sub>8</sub>	0.010	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Phenol-d5	0.010	20.0	±25.0	±25.0
Bis-(2-chloroethyl)ether-d <sub>8</sub>	0.100	20.0	±20.0	±25.0
2-Chlorophenol-d <sub>4</sub>	0.200	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
4-Methylphenol-d <sub>8</sub>	0.010	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
4-Chloroaniline-d <sub>4</sub>	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Nitrobenzene-d₅	0.050	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
2-Nitrophenol-d4	0.050	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
2,4-Dichlorophenol-d;	0.060	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
Dimethylphthalate-d <sub>6</sub>	0.300	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
Acenaphthylene-d <sub>8</sub>	0.400	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0
4-Nitrophenol-d <sub>4</sub>	0.010	40.0	± 40.0	± 50.0
Fluorene-d <sub>10</sub>	0,100	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol-da	0.010	40.0	±30.0	± 50.0
Anthracene-d <sub>10</sub>	0.300	20.0	± 20.0	±25.0
Pyrene-d <sub>10</sub>	0.300	20.0	±25.0	± 50.0
Benzo(a)pyrene-d <sub>12</sub>	0.010	20.0	= 20.0	±50.0
Fluoranthene-d <sub>10</sub> (SIM)	0.400	20.0	± 25.0	± 50.0
2-Methylnaphthalene-d <sub>10</sub> (SIM)	0.300	20.0	± 20.0	± 25.0

If a closing CCV is acting as an opening CCV, all target analytes must meet the requirements for an opening CCV.

Note: If analysis by SIM technique is requested for PAH/pentachlorophenols, calibration standards analyzed at 0.10, 0.20, 0.40, 0.80, and 1.0 ng/uL for each target compound of interest and the associated DMCs. Pentachlorophenol will require only a four point initial calibration at 0.20, 0.40, 0.80, and 1.0 ng/uL.

All criteria were metX	_
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

#### CONTINUING CALIBRATION VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

			Date of initi	al calibration:	07/06/16_(SIM)
					ation (ICV):07/06/16
	12				erification (CCV):_08/02/16;_08/03
				•	
					GCMS3P
					Aqueous/low
			Date of initi	al calibration:	07/26/16_(Scan)
					ation (ICV):07/20-21/16
					erification (CCV):_08/03/16
			Instrument	ID numbers:	GCMSM
					Aqueous/low
					· —
:	IAR	FILE   CR	ITERIA OLIT	COMPOLIND	SAMPLES

D	ATE	LAB ID#	FILE	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r	COMPOUND	SAMPLES AFFECTED
	:					

**Note:** Initial and continuing calibration verifications meet the method and guidance document required performance criteria. No closing calibration verification included in data package. No action taken, professional judgment.

### Actions:

Notes: Verify that the CCV is run at the required frequency (an opening and closing CCV must be run within 12-hour period).

All DMCs must meet the RRF values given in Table 2. No qualification of the data is necessary on DMCs RRF and %RSD/%D alone. Use professional judgment to evaluate DMCs and %RSD/%D data in conjunction with DMCs recoveries to determine the need for qualification of the data.

Qualify the initial calibration analytes listed in Table 2 using the following criteria in the CCVs:

Table 4. CCV Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Criteria for Opening CCV	Criteria for Closing CCV	Action		
Criteria for Opening CCV	Citiena for Closing CCV	Detect	Non-detect	
CCV not performed at required frequency and sequence	CCV not performed at required frequency	Use professional judgment R	Use professional judgment R	
CCV not performed at specified concentration	CCV not performed at specified concentration	Use professional judgment	Use professional judgment	
RRF < Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	RRF < Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	Use professional judgment J or R	R	
RRF ≥ Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	RRF ≥ Minimum RRF in Table 2 for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification	
%D outside the Opening Maximum %D limits in Table 2 for target analyte	%D outside the Closing Maximum %D limits in Table 2 for target analyte	J	UJ	
%D within the inclusive Opening Maximum %D limits in Table 2 for target analyte	%D within the inclusive Closing Maximum %D limits in Table 2 for target analyte	No qualification	No qualification	

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

### BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Sections 1 & 2)

The assessment of the blank analysis results is to determine the existence and magnitude of contamination problems. The criteria for evaluation of blanks apply only to blanks associated with the samples, including trip, equipment, and laboratory blanks. If problems with any blanks exist, all data associated with the case must be carefully evaluated to determine whether or not there is an inherent variability in the data for the case, or if the problem is an isolated occurrence not affecting other data.

List the contamination in the blanks below. High and low levels blanks must be treated separately.

Notes: The concentration of non-target compounds in all blanks must be less than or equal to 10 ug/L.

The concentration of target compounds in all blanks must be less than its CRQL listed in the method.

Samples taken from a drinking water tap do not have and associated field blank.

### Laboratory blanks

DATE Analyzed	LAB ID	LEVEL/ Matrix	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
_No_target_ana	lytes_detected	_in_method_bla	inks	
Field/Equipment	/Trip blank			
DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
_No_field/trip/eq	uipment_blank	s_analyzed_wit	h_this_data_package 	
	572 1977			
Note:				

12

All criteria were met	X
Criteria were not mel	
and/or see below	

### **BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Section 3)**

### **Blank Actions**

Qualify samples based on the criteria summarized in Table 5:

Table 5. Blank and TCLP/SPLP LEB Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Blank Type	Blank Result	Sample Result	Action
	Detect	Non-detect	No qualification
!	< CRQL	< CRQL	Report at CRQL and qualify as non-detect (U)
		≥ CRQL	Use professional judgment
		< CRQL	Report at CRQL and qualify as non-detect (U)
Method,	≥ CRQL	≥ CRQL but < Blank Result	Report at sample results and qualify as non-detect (U) or as unusable (R)
TCLP/SPLP LEB, Field		≥ CRQL and ≥ Blank Result	Use professional judgment
	Grossly high	Detect	Report at sample results and qualify as unusable (R)
	TIC > 5.0 ug/L (water) or 0.0050 mg/L (TCLP leachate) or TIC > 170 ug/Kg (soil)	Detect	Use professional judgment

### List samples qualified

CONTAMINATION SOURCE/LEVEL	COMPOUND	CONC/UNITS	AL/UNITS	SQL	AFFECTED SAMPLES
				_	 
			<del></del>		
		<u> </u>			

All criteria were met _X
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

### SURROGATE SPIKE RECOVERIES - DEUTERATED MONITORING COMPOUNDS (DMCs)

Laboratory performance of individual samples is established by evaluation of surrogate spike recoveries – deuterated monitoring compounds. All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample analysis. The accuracy of the analysis is measured by the surrogate percent recovery. Since the effects of the sample matrix are frequently outside the control of the laboratory and may present relatively unique problems, the validation of data is frequently subjective and demands analytical experience and professional judgment.

Notes: Recoveries for DMCs in samples and blanks must be within the limits specified in Table 6.

The recovery limits for any of the compounds listed in Table 6 may be expanded at any time during the period of performance if USEPA determines that the limits are too restrictive.

If a DMC is not added in the samples and blanks or the concentrations of DMCs in the samples and blank not the specified, use professional judgment in qualifying the data.

Table 7. DMC Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Cultural	Action		
Criteria	Detect	Non-detect	
%R < 10% (excluding DMCs with 10% as a lower acceptance limit)	J-	R	
10% ≤ %R (excluding DMCs with 10% as a lower acceptance limit) < Lower Acceptance Limit	J=1	UJ	
Lower Acceptance limit ≤%R ≤ Upper Acceptance Limit	No qualification	No qualification	
%R > Upper Acceptance Limit	J+	No qualification	

List the percent recoveries (%Rs) which do not meet the criteria for DMCs (surrogate) recovery.

Matrix:\_\_\_Groundwater\_\_\_\_\_\_

SAMPLE ID SURROGATE COMPOUND ACTION

\_DMCs\_meet\_the\_required\_criteria.\_Non-\_deuterated\_surrogates\_added\_to\_the\_samples\_were\_\_\_\_\_
\_within\_laboratory\_recovery\_limits.\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Table 8. Semivolatile DMCs and the Associated Target Analytes

1,4-Dioxane-d <sub>8</sub> (DMC-1)	Phenol-d <sub>5</sub> (DMC-2)	Bis(2-Chloroethyl) ether-d <sub>8</sub> (DMC-3)
1,4-Dioxane	Benzaldehyde	Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether
	Phenol	2,2'-Oxybis(1-chloropropane)
		Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane
2-Chlorophenol-d4(DMC-4)	4-Methylphenol-da (DMC-5)	4-Chloroaniline-d <sub>4</sub> (DMC-6)
2-Chlorophenol	2-Methylphenol	4-Chloroaniline
	3-Methylphenol	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene
	4-Methylphenol	Dichlorobenzidine
	2,4-Dimethylphenol	
Nitrohenzene-d <sub>5</sub> (DMC-7)	2-Nitrophenol-d4 (DMC-8)	2,4-Dichlorophenol-d3(DMC-9)
Acetophenone	Isophorone	2,4-Dichlorophenol
N-Nitroso-di-n-propylamine	2-Nitrophenol	Hexachlorobutadiene
Hexachloroethane	1	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene
Nitrobenzene		4-Chloro-3-methylphenol
2,6-Dinitrotoluene		2,4,6-Trichlorophenol
2,4-Dinitrotoluene		2,4,5-Trichlorophenol
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine		1,2,4,5-Tetrachlorobenzene
	1	*Pentachlorophenol
		2,3,4,6-Tetrachlorophenol
Dimethylphthalate-d <sub>4</sub> (DMC-10)	Acenaphthylene-ds (DMC-11)	4-Nitrophenol-d <sub>4</sub> (DMC-12)
Caprolactam	*Naphthalene	2-Nitroaniline
1,1'-Biphenyl	*2-Methylnaphthalene	3-Nitroaniline
Dimethylphthalate	2-Chloronaphthalene	2,4-Dinitrophenol
Diethylphthalate	*Acenaphthylene	4-Nitrophenol
Di-n-butylphthalate	*Acenaphthene	4-Nitroaniline
Butylbenzylphthalate	1	
Bis(2-ethylhexyl) phthalate		
Di-n-octylphthalate		1

Fluorene-d <sub>10</sub> (DMC-13)	4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol-d <sub>2</sub> (DMC-14)	Anthracene-d <sub>10</sub> (DMC-15)
Dibenzofuran *Fluorene	4,6-Dinitro-2-methylphenol	Hexachlorobenzene
4-Chlorophenyl-phenylether		Atrazine *Phenanthrene
4-Bromophenyl-phenylether Carbazole		*Anthracene
Pyrene-d <sub>10</sub> (DMC-16)	Benzo(a)pyrene-d <sub>12</sub> (DMC-17)	
*Fluoranthene	3,3'-Dichlorobenzidine	
*Pyrene	*Benzo(b)fluoranthene	
*Benzo(a)anthracene	*Benzo(k)fluoranthene	
*Chrysene	*Benzo(a)pyrene	
	*Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	
	*Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	
	*Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	

<sup>\*</sup>Included in optional Target Analyte List (TAL) of PAHs and PCP only.

Table 9. Semivolatile SIM DMCs and the Associated Target Analytes

Fluoranthene-d10 (DMC-1)	2-Methylnaphthalene-d10 (DMC-2)
Fluoranthene	Naphthalene
Pyrene	2-Methylnaphthalene
Benzo(a)anthracene	Acenaphthylene
Chrysene	Acenaphthene
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	Fluorene
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	Pentachlorophenol
Benzo(a)pyrene	Phenanthrene
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	Anthracene
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	

All criteria were mel	_
Criteria were not met	- 50.5
and/or see below	X

### VII. A MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MS/MSD)

This data is generated to determine long term precision and accuracy in the analytical method for various matrices. This data alone cannot be used to evaluate the precision and accuracy of individual samples. If any % R in the MS or MSD falls outside the designated range, the reviewer should determine if there are matrix effects, i.e. LCS data are within the QC limits but MS/MSD data are outside QC limit.

### MS/MSD Recoveries and Precision Criteria

The laboratory should use one MS and a duplicate analysis of an unspiked field sample if target analytes are expected in the sample. If target analytes are not expected, MS/MSD should be analyzed.

**NOTES:** 

Data for MS and MSDs will not be present unless requested by the Region. Notify the Contract Laboratory COR if a field or trip blank was used for the MS and MSD.

For a Matrix Spike that does not meet criteria, apply the action to only the field sample used to prepare the Matrix Spike sample. If it is clearly stated in the data validation materials that the samples were taken through incremental sampling or some other method guaranteeing the homogeneity of the sample group, then the entire sample group may be qualified.

List the %Rs, RPD of the compounds which do not meet the criteria.

Sampl	e ID:	_JC25	5011-1					Matrix	/Level:_	Groundwater
	C reported 24-1, JC2			o the foll	owing s	amples:		Metho	d: <b>SW8</b> 4	6 8270D BY SIM
Compound	JC2501′ ug/l	1-1 Q	Spike ug/l	MS ug/l	MS %	Spike ug/l	MSD ug/l	MSD %	RPD	Limits Rec/RPD
Naphthalene 1,4-Dioxane	0.202 ND		1 1	0.845 0.415	64 42	1	0.890 0.420	69 42	5 1	23-140/36 20-160/30

**Note:** MS/MSD % recoveries and RPD within laboratory control limits.

- \* QC limits are laboratory in-house performance criteria, LL = lower limit, UL = upper limit.
- \* If QC limits are not available, use limits of 70 130 %.

#### Actions:

QUALITY	%R < LL	%R > UL
Positive results	J	J
Nondetects results	R	Accept

MS/MSD criteria apply only to the unspiked sample, its dilutions, and the associated MS/MSD samples:

If the % R for the affected compounds were < LL (or 70 %), qualify positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

If the % R for the affected compounds were > UL (or 130 %), only qualify positive results (J). If 25 % or more of all MS/MSD %R were < LL (or 70 %) or if two or more MS/MSD %Rs were < 10%, qualify all positive results (J) and reject nondetects (R).

A separate worksheet should be used for each MS/MSD pair.

All criteria were metX
Criteria were not met
and/or see below

### INTERNAL STANDARD PERFORMANCE

The assessment of the internal standard (IS) parameter is used to assist the data reviewer in determining the condition of the analytical instrumentation.

List the internal standard area of samples which do not meet the criteria.

DATE SAMPLE ID IS OUT

IS AREA ACCEPTABLE RANGE

**ACTION** 

Internal area meets the required criteria of batch samples corresponding to this data package.

#### Action:

- 1. If an internal standard area count for a sample or blank is greater than 213.0% of the area for the associated standard (opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration) (see Table 10 below):
  - a. Qualify detects for compounds quantitated using that internal standard as estimated low (J-).
  - b. Do not qualify non-detected associated compounds.
- 2. If an internal standard area count for a sample or blank is less than 20.0% of the area for the associated standard (opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration):
  - a. Qualify detects for compounds quantitated using that internal standard as estimated high (J+).
  - b. Qualify non-detected associated compounds as unusable (R).
- 3. If an internal standard area count for a sample or blank is greater than or equal to 50.0%, and less than or equal to 213% of the area for the associated standard opening CCV or mid-point standard from initial calibration, no qualification of the data is necessary.
- 4. If an internal standard RT varies by more than 10.0 seconds: Examine the chromatographic profile for that sample to determine if any false positives or negatives exist. For shifts of a large magnitude, the reviewer may consider partial or total rejection of the data for that sample fraction. Detects should not need to be qualified as unusable (R) if the mass spectral criteria are met.
- 5. If an internal standard RT varies by less than or equal to 10.0 seconds, no qualification of the data is necessary.

**Note:** Inform the Contract Laboratory Program Project Officer (CLP PO) if the internal standard performance criteria are grossly exceeded. Note in the Data Review Narrative potential effects on the data resulting from unacceptable internal standard performance.

State in the Data Review Narrative if the required internal standard compounds are not added to a sample or blank or if the required internal standard compound is not analyzed at the specified concentration.

### Actions:

Table 10. Internal Standard Actions for Semivolatile Analysis

Criteria	Action			
Cinera	Detect	Non-detect		
Area response < 20% of the opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL	J+	R		
20% ≤ Area response < 50% of the opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL	J+	UJ		
50% ≤ Area response ≤ 200% of the opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL	No qualification	No qualification		
Area response > 200% of the opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL	J-	No qualification		
RT shift between sample/blank and opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL > 10.0 seconds	R	R		
RT shift between sample/blank and opening CCV or mid-point standard CS3 from ICAL < 10.0 seconds	No qualification	No qualification		

		All criteria were metX Criteria were not met and/or see below
TARGET COM	MPOUND IDENTIFICATION	
Criteria:		
		ounds within ±0.06 RRT units of the standard CV) or mid-point standard from the initial Yes? or No?
List compound	ds not meeting the criteria described above:	
Sample ID	Compounds	Actions
spectrum fron calibration)] m a. b.	n the associated calibration standard (operust match according to the following criteria: All ions present in the standard mass spermust be present in the sample spectrum.  The relative intensities of these ions must sample spectra (e.g., for an ion with an the corresponding sample ion abundance lons present at greater than 10% in the standard spectrum, must be evaluated interpretation.	ectrum at a relative intensity greater than 10% agree within ±20% between the standard and abundance of 50% in the standard spectrum,
List compound	Is not meeting the criteria described above:	
Sample ID	Compounds	Actions
_ldentified_co	mpounds_meet_the_required_criteria	

### Action:

- 1. The application of qualitative criteria for GC/MS analysis of target compounds requires professional judgment. It is up to the reviewer's discretion to obtain additional information from the laboratory. If it is determined that incorrect identifications were made, qualify all such data as unusable (R).
- 2. Use professional judgment to qualify the data if it is determined that cross-contamination has occurred.
- Note in the Data Review Narrative any changes made to the reported compounds or concerns regarding target compound identifications. Note, for Contract Laboratory COR action, the necessity for numerous or significant changes.

### **TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS (TICS)**

NOTE: Tentatively identified compounds should only be evaluated when requested by a party from outside of the Hazardous Waste Support Section (HWSS).

1	ist	TI	Cs
ᄔ	IJι		vo

Sample ID	Compound	Sample ID	Compound

### Action:

- 1. Qualify all TIC results for which there is presumptive evidence of a match (e.g. greater than or equal to 85% match) as tentatively identified (NJ), with approximated concentrations. TICs labeled "unknown" are qualified as estimated (J).
- 2. General actions related to the review of TiC results are as follows:
  - a. If it is determined that a tentative identification of a non-target compound is unacceptable, change the tentative identification to "unknown" or another appropriate identification, and qualify the result as estimated (J).
  - b. If all contractually-required peaks were not library searched and quantitated, the Region's designated representative may request these data from the laboratory.
- 3. In deciding whether a library search result for a TiC represents a reasonable identification, use professional judgment. If there is more than one possible match, report the result as "either compound X or compound Y". If there is a lack of isomer specificity, change the TIC result to a nonspecific isomer result (e.g., 1,3,5-trimethyl benzene to trimethyl benzene isomer) or to a compound class (e.g., 2-methyl, 3-ethyl benzene to a substituted aromatic compound).
- 4. The reviewer may elect to report all similar compounds as a total (e.g., all alkanes may be summarized and reported as total hydrocarbons).

- 5. Target compounds from other fractions and suspected laboratory contaminants should be marked as "non-reportable".
- 6. Other Case factors may influence TIC judgments. If a sample TIC match is poor, but other samples have a TIC with a valid library match, similar RRT, and the same ions, infer identification information from the other sample TIC results.
- 7. Note in the Data Review Narrative any changes made to the reported data or any concerns regarding TIC identifications.
- 8. Note, for Contract Laboratory COR action, failure to properly evaluate and report TICs

All criteria were met _	X
Criteria were not met	
and/or see below	

# SAMPLE QUANTITATION AND REPORTED CONTRACT REQUIRED QUANTITATION LIMITS (CRQLS)

### Action:

- 1. When a sample is analyzed at more than one dilution, the lower CRQL are used unless a QC exceedance dictates the use of higher CRQLs from the diluted sample. Samples reported with an "E" qualifier should be reported from the diluted sample.
- 2. If any discrepancies are found, the Region's designated representative may contact the laboratory to obtain additional information that could resolve any differences. If a discrepancy remains unresolved, the reviewer must use professional judgment to decide which value is the most accurate. Under these circumstances, the reviewer may determine that qualification of data is warranted. Note in the Data Review Narrative a description of the reasons for data qualification and the qualification that is applied to the data.
- 3. For non-aqueous samples, if the solids is less than 10.0%, use professional judgment for both detects and non-detects. If the percent solid for a soil sample is greater than or equal to 10.0% and less than 30.0%, use professional judgment to qualify detects and non-detects. If the percent solid for a soil sample is greater than or equal to 30.0%, detects and non-detects should not be qualified (see Table 11).
- 4. Note, for Contract Laboratory COR action, numerous or significant failures to accurately quantify the target compounds or to properly evaluate and adjust CRQLs.
- 5. Results between MDL and CRQL should be qualified as estimated "J".
- 6. Results < MDL should be reported at the CRQL and qualified "U". MDLs themselves should not be reported.

Table 11. Percent Solids Actions for Semivolatile Analysis for Non-Aqueous Samples

Criteria	Ac	Action			
Cincia	Detects	Non-detects			
%Solids < 10.0%	Use professional judgment	Use professional judgment			
$10.0\% \le \%$ Solids $\le 30.0\%$	Use professional judgment	Use professional judgment			
%Solids > 30.0%	No qualification	No qualification			

#### SAMPLE QUANTITATION

The sample quantitation evaluation is to verify laboratory quantitation results. In the space below, please show a minimum of one sample calculation:

### **QUANTITATION LIMITS**

## A. Dilution performed

SAMPLE ID	DILUTION FACTOR	REASON FOR DILUTION
		15
		CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE
588513 <b>77</b> 5		19)
	1	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	2	
	100	

				Crite	riteria were met _ ria were not met or see below	
FIELD DUPLICATE	PRECIS	SION				
Sample IDs	: <u> </u>			Ma	trix:	-
Field duplicates sa analyses measure laboratory duplicate will have a greater field duplicate samp The project QAPP s Suggested criteria: differences. If both s	both fiel s which variance les. hould be if large	d and lab precision only laboratory per than water matrices reviewed for project RPD (> 50 %) is	on; therefore, the re- erformance. It is also ces due to difficultie ect-specific information	sults may or expected as associated on. Items of the surface of th	have more I that soil ded with coll of the san	variability than uplicate results ecting identical
COMPOUND	SQL ug/L	SAMPLE CONC.	DUPLICATE CONC.	RPD	ACTION	
No field/laboratory of used to assess pred target analytes above	ision. R	PD within the requ	•	-		*

			Criteria were not met and/or see below
OTHE	R ISSUES		
A.	System Perfor	mance	
List sa	amples qualified	based on the degradation of system p	performance during simple analysis:
Samp		Comments	Actions
Action			
during	sample analys		nined that system performance has degraded y Program COR any action as a result of cted the data.
B.	Overall Assess	ment of Data	
List sa	amples qualified	based on other issues:	
Samp	le ID 	Comments	Actions
			_dataResults_are_valid_and_can_be_used n_below
Note:			
Action	•		

- 1. Use professional judgment to determine if there is any need to qualify data which were not qualified based on the Quality Control (QC) criteria previously discussed.
- Write a brief narrative to give the user an indication of the analytical limitations of the data. Inform the Contract Laboratory COR the action, any inconsistency of the data with the Sample Delivery Group (SDG) Narrative. If sufficient information on the intended use and required quality of the data is available, the reviewer should include their assessment of the usability of the data within the given context. This may be used as part of a formal Data Quality Assessment (DQA).

- 3. Sometimes, due to dilutions, re-analysis or SIM/Scan runs are being performed, there will be multiple results for a single analyte from a single sample. The following criteria and professional judgment are used to determine which result should be reported:
  - The analysis with the lower CRQL
  - The analysis with the better QC results
  - The analysis with the higher results